Service Design

Marine Accident Investigations - Service map

Users have needs at this stage of the service User have no needs at this stage of the service

Who is this document for?

Anyone who needs to understand the impact of EU Exit on the Marine Accident Investigation Branch (MAIB) service continuity.

This document is a map of the service that the MAIB operates. It's a tool for EU Exit contingency planning and shows where there is a dependency on European Union technology (that is only available to member states). It shows which user groups and user activities are at risk after EU Exit.

INCI	CIDENT HAPPENS	INCIDENT REPORTED		NOTIFICATION	CASE OPENED (OR CLOSED DEP	ON FURTHER ENQUIRIES)	TRIAGE	INVESTIGATION BEGINS		INVESTIGATION ENDS	REPORT WRITTEN (DRAFT)		RECOMMENDATIONS	CONSULTATION	REPORT PUBLISHED (Including recomme	endations)	CASE CLOSED / ACTIONS COMPL	-ETE			DATA ANALYSIS	GUIDANCE
CHPOINTS		Phone call / email The press Accident Report Form (ARF) Coastguard system	Criteria doc	EMCIP	Phone call / email / ARF / Word of mouth The press Seaweb boss??		Accident Report Memo (piece of paper attached to the ARF)				Report	Report Braft accident report	CI letter Safety bulletin Published report by email / letter / website / social media	Feedback Feedback consultation documents (Word Docs)	Post Email Internet						Data Spreadsheet exports	GOV.UK
CTION Tear	ams monitoring annels	Populate database with 'Notification data'. If the data is incomplete, follow up. (Only once the data is on EMCIP is the initial assessment done)	Initial assessment	The process of Notification is done within a certain amount of time Investigation not needed	Printed report given to inspection team Inspector reviews ARF and makes a decision whether or not further enquiry is needed	A subset of EMCIP information goes onto the Case Management System (CMS) MAISY Case closed	Produce Accident Report Memo (ARM) and submit to EMSA via EMCIP ARM includes the decision on whether or not to investigate ARF + ARM get filed	Lead inspector / inspector start investigation (Deployed to sea)	Investigation data is collected over time	Database milestones updated (Investigation status)	Resource allocation to writing report	Report Quality Assurance process Tech edits PI, DCI, CI Pub >	Identifying 'gap' / need for recommendation Recs officer action SMART and Unique	Report is password protected and details are shared along with the report	Re-version the report and take off the password protection Report reformatted in InDesign Distribute report	Ensure all the report documents are tied up	Publish report to EMCIP Markup of assessment results Paper filing / e-filing	Complete data gaps on EMCIP (e.g. shipping data)	Map the report to the EMCIP taxonomy	Quick check of GISIS	Put a subset of info on EMCIP	Produce guidance More detail needed
RS		Nominated individuals, e.g. Coastguard inform MAIB								Completion of Final Analysis Review (FAR) (Process)		Review of potential recommendations	Recipient of recommendations	Feedback on consultation	Report available							
	ople on board are ected by an accident						Further enquiries					Review of potential recommendations	Recipient of recommendations Do recommendations happen before consultation and publication? When is action taken?	Do investigation participants feed into the consultation?	Those responsible take action?							
STS		An incident can trigger a safety study					Report on previous accidents				Analysis of similar accidents			Review report for statistical accuracy			Codify analysis within EMCIP and submission on each What does this mean?				Respond to ad-hoc requests	
ATORS										Identify relevant regulatory issues		Review of potential recommendations	Recipient of recommendations								Go straight to EMCIP to view data subsets	Produce regulatory requirements
STED		Shipping companies are informed		EMCIP flag states notify each other if a vessel involved in an incident is owned by or in the location of those flag state						All evidence submitted or collected		Review of potential recommendations	Recipient of recommendations		Users notified that report has been published (Ministers, press) Some request hard copies					EMSA reject or approve submission	Requests for info from Who?	Read guidance
ATA		There is a dataset which is pre-defined called 'Notification data' Vessel data Location (not public) EMCIP queries EQUASIS	Criteria to assess incident report	Subset of ARF data 30 specified fields are completed	Vessel details, owner details, location, flag, coastal state, latitude and longitude	Teams legally must protect witness data	EMCIP reflects 'full investigation' vs 'notification' Injured person details (all information to pass verification) What's this?			Analysis data added to database Audio recordings, documents, images, emails, QA data				List of consultees	There are standard groups that receive every report And also a list of specific users		What data?	E.g. shipping data from Lloyds	EMCIP taxonomy	EMCIP rejection / approval based on automated check and then manual EMSA check EMCIP data passed to GISIS	On request	
OLOGY		Coastguard system What is this system? What does it do? EMCIP defines data SEAWEB / EQUASIS (for vessel details)		EMCIP		EMCIP MAISY	EMCIP MAISY	MAISY	Evidence Management System (EMS) (Tri-branch - shared with Air and Road safety agencies)	Which database?			GOV.UK Social media	MS Office SharePoint	GOV.UK MAISY (for addresses and emails of recipients) Adobe InDesign		Non-relational database (pending replacement) EMCIP EMCIP2?	EMCIP	EMCIP	EMCIP GISIS (International Maritime Organization - IMO)	Microsoft Access database Spreadsheets	GOV.UK?
DINTS		Incidents are not always reported Data from MCA is on a read-only PDF Notification data is not always complete			Printing of reports	Transfer of date between EMCIP and MAISY System speed makes this time consuming EMCIP requires more information than MAIB require (for initial logging of case)			Imbalanced in / out ratio Resource management (people)	Emergent evidence	Rejected data inputs Data taxonomy			Proper software	Midnight publish		Analysis takes 1 day (EMCIP) Down to 20 mins	EMCIP rejects submission if it's 'wrong'	Subjectivity of data and EMSA QA process	Data which is shared with GISIS is not known	Data takes 1 week to extract from EMCIP to analyse	